

FISCAL TRANSPARENCY AND ACCOUNTABILITY IN DEVELOPING ECONOMIES PUBLIC FINANCE: THEORETICAL PERSPECTIVES ON CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES

Ishaku Ahmed Adamu

Department of Accountancy,
Faculty of Social and Management Sciences,
Modibbo Adama University Yola
Corresponding Author Email: ibnishaq01@mau.edu.ng

Muhammad Abdul Abubakar

Department of Accountancy,
Faculty of Social and Management Sciences,
Modibbo Adama University Yola

Abdulkarim Ibn Shuaib

Department of Accountancy,
Faculty of Social and Management Sciences,
Modibbo Adama University Yola

Abstract

Fiscal transparency and accountability are essential pillars of effective public financial management, particularly in developing economies where governance challenges persist. This paper explores the theoretical foundations, challenges, and opportunities associated with fiscal transparency and accountability, with a focus on Nigeria. Drawing on global and regional experiences, the study highlights the role of international frameworks such as the International Monetary Fund's Fiscal Transparency Code and the Public Expenditure and Financial Accountability (PEFA) framework in shaping fiscal governance. Despite ongoing reforms, Nigeria continues to face significant hurdles, including institutional weaknesses, political interference, revenue volatility, and corruption, which impede the implementation of transparency initiatives. However, emerging opportunities such as digital financial management systems, institutional reforms, and civil society engagement provide pathways for improvement. Case studies from Brazil, South Africa, Rwanda, and Ghana illustrate successful fiscal transparency strategies, offering valuable lessons for Nigeria and similar economies. The study concludes that strengthening institutional capacity, leveraging technology, and fostering stakeholder engagement are critical to advancing fiscal transparency and accountability in developing nations.

Keywords: Fiscal transparency, accountability, developing economies

1. Introduction

Fiscal transparency and accountability have emerged as central tenets of effective public financial management globally. These concepts are increasingly recognized as essential for promoting good governance, reducing corruption, and enhancing economic performance. Fiscal transparency refers to the extent to which governments openly disclose relevant financial

information to the public, thereby enabling informed public discourse and scrutiny. Accountability involves ensuring that government officials are answerable for their fiscal decisions, particularly in how public resources are allocated and utilized.

Globally, the importance of fiscal transparency and accountability has been underscored by various international initiatives and frameworks. For instance, the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the World Bank have been at the forefront of promoting fiscal transparency through initiatives such as the Fiscal Transparency Code and the Public Expenditure and Financial Accountability (PEFA) framework. These initiatives are designed to enhance the clarity, reliability, and timeliness of government financial information, thereby fostering an environment where public officials can be held accountable for their fiscal management practices (World Bank, 2023)

In many developing economies, however, the implementation of effective fiscal transparency and accountability mechanisms remains a significant challenge. This is particularly true in Sub-Saharan Africa, where institutional weaknesses, political instability, and limited administrative capacity often undermine efforts to improve public financial management. In this context, Nigeria presents a compelling case study. As Africa's most populous nation and largest economy, Nigeria's fiscal management practices have far-reaching implications not only for its own development but also for the broader region. However, despite ongoing reforms, Nigeria continues to grapple with issues of fiscal opacity and accountability deficits.

In Nigeria, the challenges of achieving robust fiscal transparency and accountability are deeply rooted in the country's complex socio-political and economic landscape. The Nigerian public financial management (PFM) system has been historically characterized by a lack of transparency, which has fostered an environment conducive to corruption and fiscal mismanagement. Studies have shown that this lack of transparency is exacerbated by the volatility of Nigeria's revenue streams, particularly its heavy dependence on oil exports, which complicates budget planning and execution (World Bank, 2023; PEFA, 2019). Furthermore, the political environment in Nigeria often hinders the effective implementation of fiscal reforms, as political interests sometimes take precedence over sound financial management practices (Abata & Adejuwon, 2012).

Despite these challenges, there are significant opportunities for enhancing fiscal transparency and accountability in Nigeria. Recent reforms, such as the adoption of the International Public Sector Accounting Standards (IPSAS) and the implementation of digital platforms for budget transparency, represent important steps forward. Additionally, increased advocacy from civil society organizations and pressure from international bodies have catalyzed efforts to improve transparency and accountability in Nigeria's public financial management system (World Bank, 2023; PEFA, 2019).

This review aims to critically examine the theoretical foundations of fiscal transparency and accountability within the global context, with a particular focus on the challenges and opportunities in Nigeria. By drawing on key theoretical frameworks and empirical evidence, this article seeks to provide a comprehensive analysis of how fiscal transparency can be effectively leveraged to promote accountability and sustainable development in developing economies, particularly in Nigeria.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Fiscal Transparency, Accountability and Public Finance

Fiscal transparency and accountability are interrelated concepts that collectively contribute to effective public financial management. Fiscal transparency refers to the openness and clarity with which governments disclose fiscal information, including budgets, financial statements, and policies (IMF, 2019). This transparency ensures that stakeholders such as the public, investors, and policymakers have access to reliable, timely, and relevant fiscal data necessary for assessing government activities and is a prerequisite for accountability. Without transparency, it is challenging to hold public officials accountable for their financial decisions, as stakeholders lack the data needed to scrutinize government performance. Accountability in public finance entails holding government officials responsible for managing public funds effectively, efficiently, and ethically. It requires mechanisms that ensure public officials are answerable for their actions and can be held liable for misuse or mismanagement of public resources. This includes regular audits, legislative oversight, and public access to information, which collectively strengthen the transparency and integrity of financial operations (Abata & Adejuwon, 2012; Adejumo-Ayibiowu & Samuel, 2017).

Public finance is the field that studies how governments manage their revenue, expenditure, and debt. It involves the collection of taxes, allocation of resources, budgeting, and the administration of public funds to achieve economic stability and growth (Shoup, 2006; Rosen, 1992). Public finance plays a critical role in redistributing income, managing public goods and services, and implementing policies that impact the economy's overall health. Effective management of public finance is crucial for promoting equity, ensuring efficient resource use, and stabilizing the economy through various fiscal policies (Bates, 1981; Ross, 1973). The sound management of public finances is essential for the sustainable development of any country, as it directly affects the government's ability to provide essential services to its citizens.

2.2 Global Perspectives on Fiscal Transparency and Accountability

Globally, there has been significant progress in promoting fiscal transparency and accountability, driven by various international frameworks and initiatives. The IMF's Fiscal Transparency Code and the World Bank's Public Expenditure and Financial Accountability (PEFA) framework are among the most influential efforts to standardize and improve fiscal transparency worldwide. These frameworks provide guidelines for the disclosure of fiscal information and the management of public finances, with the aim of improving governance and reducing the opportunities for corruption (IMF, 2023; World Bank, 2023).

Empirical evidence suggests that countries with higher levels of fiscal transparency tend to experience better governance outcomes, including lower levels of corruption and greater fiscal discipline. For instance, Alt, Lassen, and Rose (2006) found that fiscal transparency is associated with more prudent fiscal policies and lower budget deficits. Similarly, Hameed (2005) shows that transparent budget processes are correlated with lower levels of perceived corruption.

However, the global landscape of fiscal transparency remains uneven, with significant disparities between developed and developing economies. Many advanced economies have institutionalized comprehensive transparency measures, whereas developing countries often struggle to implement these frameworks effectively due to weak institutions, limited administrative capacity, and political resistance. This discrepancy underscores the need for tailored approaches that address the specific challenges faced by developing economies (PEFA, 2019; IMF, 2023).

2.3 Fiscal Transparency and Accountability in Africa

Fiscal transparency and accountability are increasingly recognized as critical components of effective public financial management in Africa. However, the implementation of these principles across the continent has been inconsistent, with significant challenges still persisting in many countries. Various factors, including political instability, institutional weaknesses, and socio-economic disparities, have influenced the adoption and success of fiscal transparency initiatives.

In recent years, initiatives such as the Collaborative Africa Budget Reform Initiative (CABRI) have sought to enhance fiscal transparency and participation across Africa. CABRI's assessments of fiscal transparency have revealed that while some African countries have made progress, others continue to struggle with opaque budget processes and limited public participation. The main drivers of fiscal transparency improvements in Africa include increased political competition, economic crises that necessitate greater fiscal discipline, and international pressure for better governance (CABRI, 2023).

Moreover, the involvement of civil society and the media has been pivotal in pushing for greater transparency and accountability in African governments. Countries such as Kenya, South Africa, Nigeria and Ghana have seen improvements in fiscal transparency due to the active engagement of civil society organizations, which have utilized tools like the Open Budget Index to monitor and advocate for better fiscal practices. However, the effectiveness of these efforts is often hampered by limited access to information and the reluctance of governments to fully open up their financial activities to public scrutiny (Transparency International, 2023).

Challenges remain significant, particularly in countries with autocratic regimes or where corruption is deeply entrenched. In these contexts, transparency initiatives often face resistance from powerful elites who benefit from the lack of accountability. Additionally, the capacity of local institutions to enforce transparency measures is frequently undermined by inadequate resources, insufficient training, and the absence of a strong legal framework (IMF, 2023).

Despite these challenges, there are also notable opportunities for progress. Technological advancements, such as the adoption of digital platforms for budget transparency and the use of social media for civic engagement, are helping to bridge the gap between governments and citizens. Furthermore, ongoing public financial management reforms, supported by international organizations like the IMF and World Bank, are gradually improving the landscape of fiscal transparency in Africa (CABRI, 2023; IMF, 2023).

2.4 The Nigerian Context: Challenges and Opportunities

Nigeria, as Africa's most populous nation and largest economy, presents a complex and multifaceted case for examining fiscal transparency and accountability. Despite various reforms and initiatives aimed at improving public financial management, Nigeria continues to grapple with significant challenges that hinder the effective implementation of fiscal transparency. These challenges are deeply rooted in the country's political, economic, and institutional landscape.

2.4.1 Challenges in Implementing Fiscal Transparency and Accountability

Institutional Weaknesses

One of the most significant challenges to implementing fiscal transparency in Nigeria is the weakness of its institutions. Effective fiscal transparency requires strong, independent

institutions capable of enforcing compliance with transparency measures. However, in many developing economies, including Nigeria, institutions such as audit bodies, anti-corruption agencies, and financial management systems are often underfunded, understaffed, and lack the autonomy necessary to operate effectively (PEFA, 2019; IMF, 2023).

For example, the Auditor-General's office in Nigeria, which is critical for ensuring accountability, often lacks the resources and political backing needed to perform its duties effectively. The office's reports are frequently delayed or ignored by political authorities, undermining its role in promoting transparency (Adejumo-Ayibiowu & Samuel, 2017). Furthermore, the fragmentation of Nigeria's public financial management systems creates inconsistencies in financial reporting, reducing the overall effectiveness of transparency initiatives (World Bank, 2023).

Corruption and Political Interference

Corruption is perhaps the most pervasive challenge to fiscal transparency in Nigeria. It not only distorts fiscal data but also erodes public trust in government institutions. Corruption in Nigeria is systemic and affects all levels of government, from the local to the federal. This has made it difficult to implement transparency measures that would expose corrupt practices (Ogundiya, 2010).

Political interference further complicates efforts to promote fiscal transparency. Politicians often manipulate transparency initiatives to serve their own interests, such as by delaying the publication of audit reports or by selectively enforcing fiscal policies. This undermines the independence of institutions responsible for ensuring fiscal accountability and reduces the effectiveness of transparency measures (Transparency International, 2023).

Economic and Revenue Instability

Nigeria's heavy reliance on oil revenues presents another significant challenge. The volatility of oil prices creates instability in government revenues, which complicates budget planning and execution. This unpredictability often leads to fiscal crises, where the government is forced to make sudden adjustments to spending plans, often at the expense of transparency (Sala-i-Martin & Subramanian, 2013).

Moreover, the dependence on a single revenue source has discouraged the development of other sectors, leading to a narrow tax base and limited domestic revenue mobilization. This lack of diversification reduces the government's fiscal flexibility and makes it more difficult to implement long-term transparency initiatives (SFTAS, 2023).

Limited Public Engagement and Awareness

Another critical challenge is the limited engagement of the public in fiscal matters. For fiscal transparency to be effective, there needs to be active participation from citizens and civil society organizations. However, in many developing economies, including Nigeria, public awareness of fiscal issues is low, and mechanisms for citizen engagement are underdeveloped (Sarr, 2015; Transparency International, 2023).

This lack of engagement is partly due to the technical nature of fiscal information, which is often not presented in a way that is accessible to the average citizen. Additionally, the absence of a strong civil society capable of advocating for greater transparency further limits the public's role in holding the government accountable (IMF, 2023).

Technological and Capacity Constraints

Finally, technological and capacity constraints present significant obstacles to the implementation of fiscal transparency. Many government agencies in developing economies lack the necessary technological infrastructure to collect, manage, and disseminate fiscal data effectively. This is compounded by a shortage of skilled personnel who are capable of managing modern financial management systems (Olaniyi & Okafor, 2021).

In Nigeria, efforts to implement digital platforms for budget transparency, such as the Open Treasury Portal, have been hindered by these technological and capacity limitations. While such platforms have the potential to greatly enhance transparency, their effectiveness is limited by the government's ability to maintain and operate them efficiently (World Bank, 2023).

2.4.2 Opportunities for Enhancing Fiscal Transparency and Accountability

Despite the significant challenges that Nigeria and other developing economies face in implementing fiscal transparency and accountability, there are numerous opportunities that, if effectively leveraged, could lead to substantial improvements. These opportunities are grounded in ongoing reforms, technological advancements, international support, and the growing role of civil society.

Institutional Reforms and Legal Frameworks

One of the most promising opportunities lies in strengthening the institutional frameworks that support fiscal transparency. The adoption of robust legal frameworks, such as the Fiscal Responsibility Act in Nigeria, provides a foundation for ensuring that government activities are conducted transparently. Expanding and enforcing such laws at both national and subnational levels can significantly enhance accountability. Additionally, the continued implementation of the International Public Sector Accounting Standards (IPSAS) offers a standardized approach to financial reporting, which can improve the clarity and reliability of fiscal data (Ogunniyi & Oladipo, 2020; SFTAS, 2023).

Furthermore, the success of initiatives like the State Fiscal Transparency, Accountability, and Sustainability (SFTAS) program demonstrates that performance-based incentives can drive states to adopt better fiscal practices. The program's success in promoting the publication of budgets and financial statements, as well as in increasing citizen engagement, shows that structured institutional reforms coupled with financial incentives can lead to meaningful improvements in transparency (World Bank, 2023).

Technological Innovations

The rapid advancement of technology presents significant opportunities for enhancing fiscal transparency. Digital platforms such as Nigeria's Open Treasury Portal have made it easier for citizens to access government financial information. These platforms can be further developed and expanded to cover more areas of public finance, thereby increasing transparency across the board (Olaniyi & Okafor, 2021).

Moreover, the integration of modern technologies like blockchain could revolutionize public financial management by ensuring that all transactions are transparent, traceable, and immutable. This could greatly reduce the potential for corruption and mismanagement of public funds (IMF, 2023).

Capacity Building and Technical Assistance

Enhancing the capacity of government institutions to manage public finances effectively is another crucial opportunity. Training programs for public officials, particularly in areas like financial management, auditing, and the use of digital tools, can significantly improve the implementation of transparency initiatives. International organizations, such as the World Bank and the IMF, have been instrumental in providing technical assistance to developing countries, helping them build the capacity needed to sustain transparency and accountability reforms (Sarr, 2015; IMF, 2023).

Civil Society and Public Engagement

The role of civil society in promoting fiscal transparency cannot be overstated. Civil society organizations (CSOs) act as watchdogs, holding governments accountable for their fiscal practices. The increasing involvement of CSOs in budget monitoring, through initiatives like the Open Budget Partnership, provides a model for how civil society can contribute to transparency efforts (Transparency International, 2023).

Moreover, enhancing public engagement through education and awareness campaigns can empower citizens to demand greater accountability from their governments. By making fiscal information more accessible and comprehensible, governments can foster a more informed citizenry that is actively involved in the governance process (Sarr, 2015).

International Support and Partnerships

Finally, international support remains a key opportunity for enhancing fiscal transparency. Programs like the World Bank's SFTAS not only provide financial incentives but also offer technical assistance that is crucial for implementing and sustaining reforms. These partnerships can help countries align their fiscal practices with international standards, ensuring greater transparency and accountability (World Bank, 2023).

In conclusion, while challenges to fiscal transparency and accountability in Nigeria are significant, there are ample opportunities to overcome them. By leveraging institutional reforms, technological advancements, capacity building, civil society engagement, and international support, Nigeria can make substantial progress towards more transparent and accountable governance.

2.5 Theoretical Foundations of Fiscal Transparency and Accountability

Agency Theory is a cornerstone in understanding fiscal transparency and accountability, particularly in the context of the relationship between the public (principal) and government officials (agents). The theory suggests that because the government (agents) has more information than the public (principal), there is a risk that the government may not act in the public's best interest. Fiscal transparency helps reduce this information asymmetry by ensuring that the government discloses comprehensive and accurate financial information, allowing the public to monitor and evaluate government performance (Jensen & Meckling, 1976; Ross, 1973).

However, the application of Agency Theory in developing economies, including Nigeria, is often complicated by institutional weaknesses and political interference. For instance, in countries with weak institutions, even when financial information is made available, the capacity of the public and oversight institutions to use this information effectively is often limited. Additionally, political elites may manipulate transparency measures to serve their interests rather than those of

the public, leading to "window dressing" where the appearance of transparency does not translate into genuine accountability (Sarr, 2015; Collier, 2007).

Public Choice Theory provides another critical perspective by emphasizing the self-interested behavior of government officials. This theory asserts that government actors, like individuals in the marketplace, act primarily out of self-interest. Consequently, without adequate checks and balances, fiscal decisions may prioritize personal or political gain over public welfare. Fiscal transparency is therefore essential to constrain these behaviors by exposing government actions to public scrutiny, thereby deterring corruption and mismanagement (Buchanan & Tullock, 1962; Mueller, 2003).

In developing economies, however, the application of Public Choice Theory faces challenges due to the entrenchment of patronage systems and weak democratic institutions. In such environments, transparency mechanisms may be subverted, with information either being withheld or distorted to protect the interests of powerful elites. Moreover, the general public may lack the resources or knowledge necessary to engage effectively with the information provided, reducing the impact of transparency on accountability (Bates, 1981; van de Walle, 2001).

Institutional Theory emphasizes the importance of strong and coherent institutions in ensuring effective fiscal transparency and accountability. According to this theory, the effectiveness of transparency measures is heavily dependent on the quality of the institutions that implement and enforce them. In contexts where institutions are weak or fragmented, transparency initiatives are less likely to succeed, as there may be insufficient capacity to produce reliable financial data, enforce compliance, or hold officials accountable (North, 1990; DiMaggio & Powell, 1983).

In developing economies, the institutional landscape is often characterized by fragmentation and weak enforcement capabilities. For example, in Nigeria, despite the formal adoption of various transparency measures, the effectiveness of these initiatives is often undermined by the lack of coordination between different government agencies and the prevalence of corruption. This highlights the need for institutional reforms that not only introduce transparency measures but also strengthen the capacity of institutions to implement and enforce these measures effectively (PEFA, 2019; World Bank, 2023).

Stakeholder Theory broadens the discussion by considering the role of various stakeholders—such as citizens, civil society organizations, international donors, and private sector entities—in demanding and ensuring fiscal transparency and accountability. This theory suggests that the effectiveness of transparency initiatives depends on the active engagement of these stakeholders in monitoring government actions and holding officials accountable. However, in many developing economies, stakeholder engagement is often limited by factors such as low levels of literacy, lack of access to information, and the suppression of civil society (Freeman, 1984; Donaldson & Preston, 1995).

In the context of developing economies like Nigeria, Stakeholder Theory highlights the importance of empowering citizens and civil society organizations to participate effectively in fiscal oversight. This may involve capacity-building initiatives, the provision of accessible and understandable financial information, and the protection of the rights of civil society to operate freely and without fear of retribution. International donors can also play a critical role by providing technical assistance and exerting pressure on governments to improve transparency and accountability (World Bank, 2023; IMF, 2023).

3. Case Studies

A. Brazil: Enhancing Fiscal Transparency through Participation

Brazil is widely regarded as a success story in improving fiscal transparency and accountability, particularly through its innovative approaches to public participation in budget processes. The introduction of participatory budgeting in Porto Alegre in the late 1980s marked a significant shift towards involving citizens in decision-making processes related to public finances. This initiative allowed citizens to directly influence the allocation of municipal funds, leading to more equitable distribution of resources and increased accountability of local governments (de Renzio & Masud, 2011).

Brazil's federal government later adopted similar transparency measures, including the enactment of the Fiscal Responsibility Law in 2000, which imposed strict rules on budget management, transparency, and accountability. This law has been instrumental in reducing fiscal deficits and ensuring that public officials are held accountable for fiscal decisions. Brazil's experience shows that fostering public participation and establishing clear legal frameworks can significantly enhance fiscal transparency and accountability (OECD, 2010).

B. South Africa: Strengthening Institutions for Better Governance

South Africa has made considerable progress in improving fiscal transparency through strong institutional reforms. The country's Public Finance Management Act (PFMA) of 1999 established a comprehensive legal framework for managing public finances, emphasizing transparency, accountability, and sound financial management practices. This act also created institutions such as the National Treasury and the Auditor-General's office, which play crucial roles in ensuring that public funds are managed transparently and efficiently (IMF, 2019).

Additionally, South Africa's adoption of the Open Budget Index (OBI) as a tool for monitoring budget transparency has further strengthened its commitment to openness in government financial management. The OBI has enabled South Africa to regularly assess and improve its budget processes, making it one of the most transparent countries in Africa in terms of fiscal management. The South African case highlights the importance of strong institutions and regular monitoring mechanisms in achieving sustainable transparency and accountability (International Budget Partnership, 2022).

C. Rwanda: Leveraging Technology for Fiscal Transparency

Rwanda has utilized technology to enhance fiscal transparency and accountability effectively. The Rwandan government's implementation of an integrated financial management information system (IFMIS) has streamlined the management of public finances, making it easier to track government expenditures and revenues in real-time. This system has improved the accuracy and timeliness of financial reporting, thereby increasing transparency and reducing opportunities for corruption (World Bank, 2020).

Rwanda's success in leveraging technology for fiscal transparency demonstrates the potential of digital tools in improving governance. The country's experience shows that investing in technology and building the capacity to manage these systems can significantly enhance the transparency and accountability of public financial management (African Development Bank, 2021).

D. Ghana: E-Governance for Fiscal Transparency

Ghana has made significant strides in improving fiscal transparency through the adoption of e-governance. By implementing digital platforms that allow citizens to access budget information and track government spending, Ghana has increased public participation in fiscal matters and enhanced the accountability of public officials. The use of ICT in public financial management has been pivotal in improving transparency and reducing opportunities for corruption, contributing to better governance outcomes in the country (Afees, 2023).

Lessons Learned

From these case studies, several lessons emerge that can inform efforts to improve fiscal transparency and accountability in developing economies:

1. **Public Participation:** Brazil's experience underscores the importance of involving citizens in the budget process. Engaging the public not only improves the allocation of resources but also enhances the legitimacy of fiscal decisions and promotes accountability.
2. **Institutional Strength:** South Africa's case highlights the necessity of strong institutions in enforcing transparency measures. Effective legal frameworks and independent oversight bodies are crucial for sustaining transparency and accountability.
3. **Leveraging Technology:** Rwanda's success demonstrates the potential of technology in improving fiscal transparency. Digital tools like IFMIS can enhance the accuracy and timeliness of financial reporting, though they must be supported by adequate capacity-building efforts to ensure their effectiveness.
4. **Tailored Approaches:** The varied experiences of these countries show that there is no one-size-fits-all solution to achieving fiscal transparency and accountability. Each country must tailor its approach to its specific context, considering factors such as institutional capacity, technological infrastructure, and the level of public engagement.

4. Discussion

4.1 Synthesis of Theoretical Perspectives and Practical Realities

The examination of fiscal transparency and accountability in developing economies, particularly within the Nigerian context, reveals a complex interplay between theoretical frameworks and practical realities. Theories such as Agency Theory, Public Choice Theory, and Institutional Theory offer valuable insights into the mechanisms that drive or hinder fiscal transparency. These theories provide a foundation for understanding the dynamics of information asymmetry, self-interested behavior, and the role of institutions in public financial management. However, the practical implementation of these theories often encounters significant challenges that are deeply rooted in the socio-political and economic contexts of developing nations.

Agency Theory posits that transparency is crucial in reducing information asymmetry between the government (agents) and the public (principals), thereby enhancing accountability. In practice, however, the application of this theory in Nigeria is undermined by institutional weaknesses and political interference, which prevent effective monitoring and enforcement of transparency measures. The pervasive corruption and the manipulation of fiscal data by political elites highlight the gap between theoretical ideals and practical realities (Jensen & Meckling, 1976; Transparency International, 2023). Despite the theory's emphasis on transparency as a tool to align the interests of the agents and principals, Nigeria's institutional shortcomings, such as

underfunded audit offices and delayed financial reports, have significantly limited the effectiveness of these transparency efforts (Adejumo-Ayibiowu & Samuel, 2017).

Public Choice Theory emphasizes the self-interested behavior of government officials, suggesting that without adequate checks, fiscal policies may prioritize personal or political gain over public welfare. This theory is particularly relevant in Nigeria, where the political environment often skews transparency initiatives to serve the interests of the elite. The case studies from Brazil and South Africa demonstrate that while strong institutions can mitigate such behaviors, the lack of public engagement remains a significant barrier to achieving the transparency envisioned by this theory (Buchanan & Tullock, 1962; OECD, 2010). In practice, public engagement in Nigeria is often limited by technical barriers and a lack of awareness, which hinders the effectiveness of transparency measures despite the potential benefits highlighted by the theory (Olaniyi & Okafor, 2021; IMF, 2023).

Institutional Theory highlights the importance of robust and cohesive institutions in implementing transparency measures. In Nigeria, the fragmented nature of public financial management systems and the lack of integration between key institutions weaken the overall effectiveness of transparency efforts. The success stories of Rwanda and South Africa underscore the importance of building strong institutions that can support transparency initiatives and ensure their sustainability over time (North, 1990; World Bank, 2020). These examples show that while theoretical frameworks advocate for strong institutional support, the reality in Nigeria is characterized by institutional fragmentation and insufficient coordination, which hampers effective transparency.

Stakeholder Theory further enriches the discussion by emphasizing the role of diverse actors, including civil society and international organizations, in promoting fiscal transparency. The practical realities in Nigeria reveal that while international support, such as the SFTAS program, has driven significant improvements, the full potential of these initiatives can only be realized when there is strong domestic engagement and ownership of the transparency agenda (Freeman, 1984; SFTAS, 2023). In practice, the engagement of stakeholders in Nigeria is often hampered by limited resources and public awareness, which contrasts with the theoretical ideal of active and informed stakeholder participation.

The case studies of Brazil, South Africa, and Rwanda illustrate how different strategies can be used to bridge the gap between theoretical ideals and practical realities. Brazil's focus on public participation aligns well with Stakeholder Theory and demonstrates how citizen engagement can be a powerful tool for ensuring transparency. South Africa's institutional reforms are in line with Institutional Theory and showcase the importance of strong, independent bodies in enforcing transparency. Rwanda's use of technology reflects the potential for innovative solutions to address the practical challenges identified by theories focused on information dissemination and accountability.

However, these successes also highlight the importance of context-specific approaches. While theoretical frameworks provide general guidance, the practical implementation of fiscal transparency measures must be tailored to the unique political, economic, and institutional conditions of each country. This synthesis of theory and practice underscores the need for adaptive strategies that consider both the opportunities and the constraints present in different settings.

4.2 Implications for Policy and Practice

The integration of theoretical insights with the practical challenges and opportunities highlighted in this study has several important implications for policymakers and practitioners in developing economies:

1. **Strengthening Institutions:** The case studies and theoretical frameworks underscore the need for robust institutions that can enforce transparency measures. Policymakers should prioritize the development of independent oversight bodies, such as audit institutions and anti-corruption agencies, and ensure they are adequately resourced and free from political interference (IMF, 2023; World Bank, 2023).
2. **Enhancing Public Engagement:** The practical experiences of Brazil and Rwanda demonstrate the importance of involving citizens in the fiscal process. Governments should invest in public education campaigns and create platforms that facilitate meaningful public participation in budgeting and financial oversight. This not only enhances transparency but also builds public trust in government institutions (de Renzio & Masud, 2011; African Development Bank, 2021).
3. **Leveraging Technology:** Technological advancements present significant opportunities for improving fiscal transparency. Governments should invest in digital platforms that make fiscal data accessible to the public in real-time. However, these investments must be complemented by efforts to build the technical capacity of public officials to manage these systems effectively (Olaniyi & Okafor, 2021; World Bank, 2020).
4. **Promoting International Collaboration:** The success of international programs like SFTAS highlights the value of global partnerships in promoting transparency. Policymakers in developing economies should actively seek international support for transparency initiatives, while also ensuring that these programs are adapted to local contexts to maximize their impact (SFTAS, 2023; Fiscal Responsibility Commission, 2023).
5. **Institutionalizing Legal Frameworks:** Legal reforms, such as the adoption of IPSAS and the enactment of fiscal responsibility laws, are critical for establishing the foundation of fiscal transparency. Governments should ensure that these frameworks are not only adopted but also rigorously enforced to create a sustainable culture of transparency and accountability (Ogunniyi & Oladipo, 2020; OECD, 2010).

5. Conclusion

This article has explored the critical role of fiscal transparency and accountability in public financial management, particularly in the context of developing economies like Nigeria. We began by discussing the theoretical foundations of fiscal transparency, examining how Agency Theory, Public Choice Theory, Institutional Theory, and Stakeholder Theory provide insights into the dynamics of transparency and accountability. The practical challenges of implementing these theories were highlighted, including institutional weaknesses, corruption, political interference, and limited public engagement. Through case studies from countries such as Brazil, South Africa, Mexico, Afghanistan, and Ghana, we observed that successful transparency initiatives are often context-specific and require a combination of robust institutions, technological innovation, and active civil society participation. The discussion also emphasized the importance of tailored strategies that align with the unique socio-political and economic environments of each country.

References

- Abata, M. A., & Adejuwon, K. D. (2012). Accountability and transparency in public financial management in Nigeria. *ResearchGate*. Retrieved from <https://www.researchgate.net>
- Afees, N. O. (2023). E-governance and fiscal performance in Sub-Saharan Africa: Reappraising the role of ICT in public sector management. In P. Tyagi, S. Grima, K. Sood, B. Balamurugan, & E. Özen (Eds.), *Smart analytics, artificial intelligence and sustainable performance management in a global digitalised economy* (Vol. 110A, pp. 167-190). Emerald Publishing Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1108/S1569-37592023000110A009>
- African Development Bank. (2021). Leveraging technology for fiscal transparency in Africa. Retrieved from <https://www.afdb.org>
- Alt, J. E., Lassen, D. D., & Rose, S. (2006). The causes of fiscal transparency: Evidence from the American states. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*, 22(2), 30-50.
- Bates, R. H. (1981). *Markets and states in tropical Africa: The political basis of agricultural policies*. University of California Press.
- Collaborative Africa Budget Reform Initiative (CABRI). (2023). Fiscal transparency and participation in Africa: A status report. Retrieved from <https://www.cabri-sbo.org>
- Collier, P. (2007). *The bottom billion: Why the poorest countries are failing and what can be done about it*. Oxford University Press.
- de Renzio, P., & Masud, H. (2011). Measuring and promoting budget transparency: The Open Budget Index as a research and advocacy tool. *Journal of Public Administration and Development*, 31(3), 195-203.
- DiMaggio, P. J., & Powell, W. W. (1983). The iron cage revisited: Institutional isomorphism and collective rationality in organizational fields. *American Sociological Review*, 48(2), 147-160.
- Donaldson, T., & Preston, L. E. (1995). The stakeholder theory of the corporation: Concepts, evidence, and implications. *Academy of Management Review*, 20(1), 65-91.
- Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI). (2023). EITI as an instrument of fiscal transparency and accountability: A case study of two FCV countries. Retrieved from <https://eiti.org>
- Fiscal Responsibility Commission. (2023). Subnational fiscal risks, SFTAS, and the Fiscal Responsibility Commission. Retrieved from <https://fiscalresponsibility.ng>
- Freeman, R. E. (1984). *Strategic management: A stakeholder approach*. Pitman.
- Hameed, F. (2005). Fiscal transparency and economic outcomes. *IMF Working Paper*. Retrieved from <https://www.imf.org>
- International Monetary Fund (IMF). (2019). South Africa: Fiscal transparency evaluation. *International Monetary Fund*. Retrieved from <https://www.imf.org>
- International Monetary Fund (IMF). (2023). How does the IMF encourage greater fiscal transparency? *International Monetary Fund*. Retrieved from <https://www.imf.org>
- International Budget Partnership. (2022). *Open Budget Survey 2021*. Retrieved from <https://www.internationalbudget.org>
- Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs, and ownership structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 3(4), 305-360.
- Mueller, D. C. (2003). *Public choice III*. Cambridge University Press.
- Nigeria Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (NEITI). (2023). Nigeria Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative. Retrieved from <https://neiti.gov.ng>

- Overseas Development Institute (ODI). (2023). Can fiscal transparency lead to better accountability and development? *Overseas Development Institute*. Retrieved from <https://odi.org>
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2010). Brazil: Fiscal responsibility law and public management reform. *Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development*. Retrieved from <https://www.oecd.org>
- Public Expenditure and Financial Accountability (PEFA). (2019). *Nigeria 2019*. Public Expenditure and Financial Accountability. Retrieved from <https://www.pefa.org>
- Ross, S. A. (1973). The economic theory of agency: The principal's problem. *American Economic Review*, 63(2), 134-139.
- State Fiscal Transparency, Accountability, and Sustainability Program (SFTAS). (2023). Appraising World Bank's \$750m SFTAS programme for states. Retrieved from <https://www.sftas.org.ng>
- Shleifer, A., & Vishny, R. W. (1997). A survey of corporate governance. *Journal of Finance*, 52(2), 737-783.
- Transparency International. (2023). Knowledge hub on public financial management and transparency in Africa. *Transparency International*. Retrieved from <https://knowledgehub.transparency.org>
- van de Walle, N. (2001). *African economies and the politics of permanent crisis, 1979-1999*. Cambridge University Press.
- World Bank. (2020). Rwanda: Enhancing public financial management through technology. *World Bank*. Retrieved from <https://www.worldbank.org>
- World Bank. (2023). Nigeria public finance review: Fiscal adjustment for better and sustainable results - Full report. *World Bank*. Retrieved from <https://documents.worldbank.org>
- Shoup, C. (2006). *Public Finance* (1st ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315127729>
- Rosen, H. S. (1992). Public finance. In *The encyclopedia of public choice* (pp. 252-262). Boston, MA: Springer US.
- Adejumo-Ayibiowu, O., & Samuel, W. A. (2017). Transparency and Accountability in Nigeria's Public Financial Management. *Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development*, 8(2), 69-78.
- Bates, R. H. (1981). *Markets and States in Tropical Africa: The Political Basis of Agricultural Policies*. University of California Press.
- Ross, S. A. (1973). The Economic Theory of Agency: The Principal's Problem. *American Economic Review*, 63(2), 134-139.